

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT'S P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/226,396	01/06/1999	ARON GAT	AGX-14	1125
	590 07/02/2003			
TIMOTHY A			EXAMINER	
DORITY & MA P O BOX 1449			NGUYEN, KIET TUAN	
GREENVILLE, SC 296021449				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	23
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



<b>^</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commission	09/226,396	Applicant(s)  GAT et al.  Group Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit 2881
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address.—
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE3	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent or part of the Communication.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ly within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the mailing date of this communication.
Status  ☑ Presponsive to communication(s) filed on	2 -03	
✓ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.	r formal matters, prose	cution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims	.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	
Of the above claim(s) $60-73$	-73	•
Of the above claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
♥ Claim(s) 60 -73		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
© Claim(s) 60-73 ☑ Claim(s) 32-33, 35-56 and 58-5	9	is/are allowed.
		is/am minoted
□ Claim(s)		is are rejected,
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	á.	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	á.	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☑ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ○6 - 12 - 0	3 is 1√ approved □	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	3 is 1√ approved □	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 6 - 12 - 0 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	3 is 1√ approved □	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ 6 ☐ 2 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	3 is 1√ approved □	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ 6 — 12 — 0 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)	3 is to approved □ to by the Examiner	is/are objected to.      are subject to restriction or election requirement  disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ 6 ☐ 2 ☐ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	3 is to approved □ to by the Examiner	is/are objected to.      are subject to restriction or election requirement  disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved to by the Examiner or 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(c	is/are objected to.      are subject to restriction or election requirement  disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on 6 - 12 - 0 The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	is to approved to by the Examiner or 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(dived.	<ul> <li>is/are objected to.</li> <li>are subject to restriction or election requirement</li> <li>disapproved.</li> </ul>
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved to by the Examiner or 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(c) ived.	<ul> <li>is/are objected to.</li> <li>are subject to restriction or election requirement</li> <li>disapproved.</li> </ul>
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are objected □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recent □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recent □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recent □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recent □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been recent □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	is to approved to by the Examiner of 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(c) ived.	<ul> <li>is/are objected to.</li> <li>are subject to restriction or election requirement</li> <li>disapproved.</li> </ul>
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 12 approved □ to by the Examiner  or 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(c)  ived.  ved in Application No.  ive been received  reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	<ul> <li>is/are objected to.</li> <li>are subject to restriction or election requirement</li> <li>disapproved.</li> </ul>
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 12 approved □ to by the Examiner  or 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(c)  ived.  ved in Application No.  ive been received  reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	<ul> <li>is/are objected to.</li> <li>are subject to restriction or election requirement</li> <li>disapproved.</li> </ul>
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are objected □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified und □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have in this national stage application from the International Buttachment(s)	is approved to by the Examiner  or 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(c)  ived.  ived in Application No.  ive been received  reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.  (1).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are objected □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified und □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies o	is approved to by the Examiner  or 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(c)  ived.  ved in Application No.  ive been received  reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	<ul> <li>is/are objected to.</li> <li>are subject to restriction or election requirement</li> <li>disapproved.</li> </ul>
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are objected □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Criority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) (d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have in this national stage application from the International Butchment(s)	is 1 approved   let by the Examiner   let 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(c)   let been received   reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))   let received   let received	<ul> <li>is/are objected to.</li> <li>are subject to restriction or election requirement</li> <li>disapproved.</li> </ul>

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

23 Part of Paper No.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 32-33, 35-56 and 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moslehi et al. (5,367,606). (See the reasons as indicated in the previous office action dated November 20, 2001 in Paper No. 12).

Claims 60-73 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed on June 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of the foregoing reasons.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner *Kiet T. Nguyen* whose telephone number is *(703) 308-4855*.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9319.

*K.T.N/Primary* July 1, 2003

KIET T. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER